Appendix A

Color Maps and Figures

Figure 6.3. Reporting Regions for the Global Modeling Results of the MA. The region labeled OECD does not correspond exactly with the actual member states of the OECD. Turkey, Mexico, and South Korea, member states of OECD, are reported here as part of the regions Northern Africa/Middle East, Latin America, and Asia, respectively. All countries in Central Europe are reported here as part of the OECD region. This reporting definition is used because regions have been aggregated from the regional definitions of the models used. IMAGE and WaterGAP models have used a slightly different definition. (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment)

Figure 7.5. Income per Person, per Capita (GNI) Average, 1999–2003. National income is converted to U.S. dollars using the World Bank Atlas method. U.S. dollar values are obtained from domestic currencies using a three-year weighted average of the exchange rate. (World Bank 2003)
**Figure 7.6a. Average GDP per Capita Annual Growth Rate, 1990–2003**

**Figure 7.6b. Average GDP Annual Growth Rate, 1990–2003** (Based on data downloaded from the online World Bank database and reported in World Bank 2004.)
Figure 7.9. Metals Intensity of Use per Unit of GDP (PPP) as a Function of GDP (PPP) per Capita for 13 World Regions (Nakićenović et al. 2003). Metals include refined steel and MedAlloy (the sum of copper, lead, zinc, tin, and nickel). GDP here is measured in terms of purchasing power parities (PPP). The dashed curves are isolines that represent a constant per capita consumption of metals. The thick line indicates the inverse U-shaped curve that best describes the trends in the different regions as part of a global metal model. (Van Vuuren et al. 2000)

Figure 7.10. Energy Intensity Changes with Changes in per Capita Income for China, India, Japan, and United States. Historical data for the United States since 1800 are shown. Data are converted from domestic currencies using market exchange rates. (Nakićenovic et al. 1998)

Figure 7.11. Energy Intensity Changes over Time for China, India, Japan, and United States. Data are converted from domestic currencies using market exchange rates. (Nakićenovic et al. 1998)
Figure 7.13. Variations of the Earth’s Surface Temperature, 1000–2100. The temperature scale is a departure from the 1990 value. For 1000–1860: variations in average surface temperature of the Northern Hemisphere are shown (corresponding data from the Southern Hemisphere not available) reconstructed from proxy data (tree rings, corals, ice cores, and historical records). The line shows the 50-year average, the grey region the 96% confidence limit in the annual data. For 1860–2000: variations in observations of globally and annually averaged surface temperature from the instrumental record. The line shows the decadal average. For 2000–2100: scenarios and IS92a using a model with average climate sensitivity. The grey region marked “several models all IPCC SRES envelope” shows the range of results from the full range of 35 SRES scenarios in addition to those from a range of models with different climate sensitivities. (IPCC 2002)
Figure 7.14. Past and Future Carbon Dioxide Concentrations. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations from year 1000 to 2000 are from ice core data and from direct atmospheric measurements over the past few decades. Projections of carbon dioxide concentrations for 2000 to 2100 are based on six illustrative IPCC SRES scenarios and IS92a (for comparison with the IPCC Second Assessment Report). (IPCC 2002)
Figure 7.15. Concentration of Greenhouse Gases (IPCC 2002)
Figure 7.16. Trends in Global Consumption of Nitrogen Fertilizers, 1961–2001 (IFA 2004)

The dip at the end of the 1980s is due to the economic disruptions accompanying the dissolution of the Soviet Union.

Figure 7.18. Trends in Global Consumption of Phosphate Fertilizer, 1961–2002 (IFA 2004)
Figure 7.22. An Overview of the Causative Patterns of Tropical Deforestation (Geist and Lambin 2002)

Figure 8.1. Prime Critical Uncertainties Distinguishing MA Scenarios
Figure 9.3. Global Energy Consumption in MA Scenarios (IMAGE 2.2)

Figure 9.5. Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions in MA Scenarios (IMAGE 2.2)
Figure 9.9. Exceeding of Acidification and Nitrogen Deposition Critical Loads in the Order from Strength and TechnoGarden Scenarios in 2050 (IMAGE 2.2)

Figure 9.12. Change in Precipitation in 2050 Compared with Current Climate under the Global Orchestration Scenario (IMAGE 2.2)
Figure 9.13. Causes of Concern in Third Assessment Report of the IPCC (IPCC 2001)
Figure 9.18. Land Use Patterns in Two Scenarios in 2050. The maps on the left indicate global cover in 2000 and 2050. The maps on the right indicate the cause of changes in land use between 2000 and 2050, including shifts in biome types as a result of climate change. (IMAGE 2.2)
Figure 9.20. Nitrogen Fertilizer Use under Different Scenarios

Figure 9.28. Crop Yield for the Order from Strength Scenario from 2000 to 2100. Red indicates a significant decrease; yellow for a stable yield; blue signifies a significant increase. (IMAGE 2.2)
Figure 10.10 Potential Plant-Species Diversity as Determined by Climate Patterns. Blue tones represent increases in diversity relative to present, and reddish tones represent decreases in diversity. Potential plant-species diversity represents diversity when ecosystems reach equilibrium with climate. (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment)
Figure 10.11. Species Richness of African Ticks in 2000, at a Resolution of 0.5 Degrees. This map is based on climate-driven estimates of species ranges for 73 of the approximately 240 African species. The numbers in the legend indicate the number of tick species by grid cell. Tick species richness is highest in East Africa, Kenya, and Tanzania. There are pockets of high diversity in the Eastern Highlands of Malawi and Zimbabwe, the Cape, and West Africa; the lowest species richness occurs in the desert areas.

Figure 10.12. Predicted Changes in Tick Species Richness (per one-half degree cell) in Africa by 2100 in MA Scenarios. The number on the legend indicates the number of species that are gained or lost from each grid cell relative to a 2000 baseline estimate.
Figure 10.13. Threat to Natural Ecosystems from Climate Change Following the Biome Approach in the IMAGE 2.2 Model in MA Scenarios
Figure 10.16. Nitrogen Deposition, Sensitivity, and Exceedance of Critical Loads for Order from Strength Scenario in 2050. In these maps for sensitivity, red tones indicate insensitive.
Figure 10.21. Change in Annual Water Availability in Global Orchestration Scenario in 2100. Numbers indicate the location of river basins in Figure 10.20. Shades from grey through red indicate regions that are drying.
Figure 11.1. Changes in Human Well-being and Socioecological Indicators for MA Scenarios, 2000-50. Each axis in the star diagrams on the left represents one of the five human well-being (HWB) components as defined by the MA Conceptual Framework. The area inside the pentagon represents HWB as a whole. The '0' line represents the status of each of these components in 2000. If the yellow line moves more toward the center of the pentagon, this HWB component deteriorates in relative terms between today and 2050; if it moves toward the outer edges of the pentagon it improves. The diagrams on the right show the changes for three indicators representing socioecological variables. The '0' line represents the current status. If the green line moves toward the center of the triangle, the status of the indicator deteriorates in relative terms compared with today; if it moves more toward the outer edges of the triangle it improves.
Figure 11.2. Changes in Currently Industrialized and Developing Countries for Human Well-being Indicators for MA Scenarios, Today–2050. Each axis in the star diagrams on the left represents one of the five human well-being (HWB) components as defined by the MA Conceptual Framework. The area marked by the lines between the arrows represents HWB as a whole. The '0' line represents the status of each of these components today. If the yellow line moves more toward the center of the pentagon, this HWB component deteriorates in relative terms between today and 2050; if it moves toward the outer edges of the pentagon HWB improves.
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### Appendix C
Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Aridity index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AKRSP</td>
<td>Aga Khan Rural Support Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMF</td>
<td>Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASB</td>
<td>Alternatives to slash-and-burn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASOMPH</td>
<td>Asian Symposium on Medicinal Plants, Spices and Other Natural Products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVHRR</td>
<td>Advanced very high resolution radiometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCA</td>
<td>Benefit-cost analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGP</td>
<td>Biogeochemical Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BII</td>
<td>Biodiversity Intactness Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNF</td>
<td>Biological nitrogen fixation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOOT</td>
<td>Build-own-operate-transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRT</td>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSE</td>
<td>Bovine spongiform encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Bacillus thuringiensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;I</td>
<td>Criteria and indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFO</td>
<td>Concentrated animal feeding operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Common Agricultural Policy (of the European Union)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAREC</td>
<td>Central Asia Regional Environment Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Cost-benefit analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBD</td>
<td>Convention on Biological Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBO</td>
<td>Community-based organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCAMLR</td>
<td>Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN</td>
<td>Cloud condensation nuclei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>CO₂ capture and storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDM</td>
<td>Clean Development Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENICAFE</td>
<td>Centro Nacional de Investigaciones de Café (Colombia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFCs</td>
<td>Chlorofluorocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGIAR</td>
<td>Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFOR</td>
<td>Center for International Forestry Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITES</td>
<td>Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONICET</td>
<td>Consejo de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (Argentina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Conference of the Parties (of treaties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF</td>
<td>Collaborative Partnership on Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIR</td>
<td>Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (South Africa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Contingent valuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVM</td>
<td>Contingent valuation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVM</td>
<td>Contingent valuation method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAF</td>
<td>Decision analytical framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALY</td>
<td>Disability-adjusted life year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>Dichloro diphenyl trichloroethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>Dietary energy supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHF</td>
<td>Dengue hemorrhagic fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>Demographic and health surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPSEEAA</td>
<td>Driving forces-pressure-state-exposure-effect-action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPSIR</td>
<td>Driver-pressure-state-impact-response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSF</td>
<td>Dust storm frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU</td>
<td>Dobson Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>European Environment Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEZ</td>
<td>Exclusive economic zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGS</td>
<td>Ecosystem global scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHI</td>
<td>Environmental health indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>Environmental impact assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EID</td>
<td>Emerging infectious disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EKC</td>
<td>Environmental Kuznets Curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMF</td>
<td>Ectomycorrhizal fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/MSY</td>
<td>extinctions per million species per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSO</td>
<td>El Niño/Southern Oscillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI</td>
<td>environmental policy integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU ETS</td>
<td>European Union Emissions Trading System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization (United Nations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAPRI</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Policy Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEG</td>
<td>Forest Law Enforcement, Governance, and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRA</td>
<td>Forest Resources Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSC</td>
<td>Forest Stewardship Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATS</td>
<td>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATT</td>
<td>General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCM</td>
<td>general circulation model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDI</td>
<td>Gender-related Development Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>Global Environment Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO</td>
<td>Global Environment Outlook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>greenhouse gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIS</td>
<td>geographic information system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIWA</td>
<td>Global International Waters Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASOD</td>
<td>Global Assessment of Soil Degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLC</td>
<td>Global Land Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOF</td>
<td>Glacier Outburst Flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>genetic modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMO</td>
<td>genetically modified organism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNI</td>
<td>gross national income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP</td>
<td>gross national product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS</td>
<td>Global Positioning System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRoWI</td>
<td>Global Review of Wetland Resources and Priorities for Wetland Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSG</td>
<td>Global Scenarios Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSPC</td>
<td>Global Strategy for Plant Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GtC-eq</td>
<td>gigatons of carbon equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWP</td>
<td>global warming potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDI</td>
<td>Human Development Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIA</td>
<td>health impact assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPC</td>
<td>heavily indebted poor countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPI</td>
<td>Human Poverty Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPS</td>
<td>hantavirus pulmonary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWB</td>
<td>human well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA</td>
<td>integrated agriculture-aquaculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAM</td>
<td>integrated assessment model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBI</td>
<td>Index of Biotic Integrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICBG</td>
<td>International Cooperative Biodiversity Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICDP</td>
<td>integrated conservation and development project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICJ</td>
<td>International Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRAF</td>
<td>International Center for Research in Agroforestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRW</td>
<td>International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSU</td>
<td>International Council for Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICZM</td>
<td>integrated coastal zone management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDRC</td>
<td>International Development Research Centre (Canada)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEA</td>
<td>International Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEG</td>
<td>international environmental governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEK</td>
<td>indigenous ecological knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFPRI</td>
<td>International Food Policy Research Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBP</td>
<td>International Geosphere-Biosphere Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIASA</td>
<td>International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IK</td>
<td>indigenous knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO</td>
<td>International Labour Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF</td>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT</td>
<td>International Model for Policy Analysis of Agricultural Commodities and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMR</td>
<td>infant mortality rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INESI</td>
<td>International Network of Sustainability Initiatives (hypothetical, in Scenarios)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTA</td>
<td>Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (Argentina)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAT</td>
<td>impact of population, affluence, technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPCC</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>integrated pest management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>intellectual property rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRBM</td>
<td>integrated river basin management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISEH</td>
<td>International Society for Ecosystem Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>International Organization for Standardization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITTPGR</td>
<td>International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITQs</td>
<td>individual transferable quotas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITTO</td>
<td>International Tropical Timber Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUCN</td>
<td>World Conservation Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUU</td>
<td>illegal, unregulated, and unreported (fishing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVM</td>
<td>integrated vector management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWMI</td>
<td>International Water Management Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IWRM</td>
<td>integrated water resources management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JDSD</td>
<td>Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JI</td>
<td>joint implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMP</td>
<td>Joint Monitoring Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>leaf area index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARD</td>
<td>livelihood approaches to rural development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>least developed country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEK</td>
<td>local ecological knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LME</td>
<td>large marine ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPI</td>
<td>Living Planet Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSMS</td>
<td>Living Standards Measurement Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LULUCF</td>
<td>land use, land use change, and forestry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Millennium Ecosystem Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAI</td>
<td>mean annual increments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBI</td>
<td>market-based instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>multicriteria analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDG</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>multilateral environmental agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENA</td>
<td>Middle East and North Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MER</td>
<td>market exchange rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC</td>
<td>major histocompatibility complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICS</td>
<td>multiple indicator cluster surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>marine protected area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSVPA</td>
<td>multispecies virtual population analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAP</td>
<td>National Action Program (of desertification convention)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBP</td>
<td>net biome productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCD</td>
<td>noncommunicable disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCS</td>
<td>National Conservation Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCSD</td>
<td>national council for sustainable development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>normalized difference vegetation index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>effective size of a population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP</td>
<td>national environmental action plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP</td>
<td>new ecological paradigm; also net ecosystem productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPAD</td>
<td>New Partnership for Africa’s Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFAP</td>
<td>National Forestry Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFP</td>
<td>national forest programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>nongovernmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHC</td>
<td>non-methane hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td>National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>net primary productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSSD</td>
<td>national strategies for sustainable development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUE</td>
<td>nitrogen use efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWFP</td>
<td>non-wood forest product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>official development assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSB</td>
<td>oriented strand board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWL</td>
<td>other wooded land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>protected area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH</td>
<td>polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCBs</td>
<td>polychlorinated biphenyls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEM</td>
<td>protein energy malnutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>payment for environmental (or ecosystem) services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFT</td>
<td>plant functional type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>Papua New Guinea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPs</td>
<td>persistent organic pollutants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>participatory poverty assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>parts per billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>potential Pareto improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>parts per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppmv</td>
<td>parts per million by volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>purchasing power parity; also public-private partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppt</td>
<td>parts per thousand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQLI</td>
<td>Physical Quality of Life Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>participatory rural appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSP</td>
<td>Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSE</td>
<td>producer support estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td>population viability analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANWA</td>
<td>Research and Action in Natural Wealth Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBO</td>
<td>river basin organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDES</td>
<td>Recursos e Investigación para el Desarrollo Sustentable (Chile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIL</td>
<td>reduced impact logging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLI</td>
<td>Red List Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO</td>
<td>reverse osmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRA</td>
<td>rapid rural appraisal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUE</td>
<td>rain use efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC</td>
<td>Southern African Development Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADCC</td>
<td>Southern African Development Coordination Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaMA</td>
<td>Southern African Millennium Ecosystem Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP</td>
<td>structural adjustment program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>species-area relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS</td>
<td>severe acute respiratory syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBSTTA</td>
<td>Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice (of CBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>strategic environmental assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEME</td>
<td>simple empirical models for eutrophication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES</td>
<td>social-ecological system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFM</td>
<td>sustainable forest management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIDS</td>
<td>small island developing states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>safe minimum standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM</td>
<td>soil organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRES</td>
<td>Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (of the IPCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC</td>
<td>Species Survival Commission (of IUCN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAP</td>
<td>sector-wide approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>total allowable catch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBT</td>
<td>tributyltin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>travel cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>travel cost method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDR</td>
<td>tradable development rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>total dissolved solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEIA</td>
<td>transboundary environmental impact assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEK</td>
<td>traditional ecological knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>terrestrial ecosystem model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESEO</td>
<td>Treaty Enforcement Services Using Earth Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEV</td>
<td>total economic value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFAP</td>
<td>Tropical Forests Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFP</td>
<td>total factor productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFR</td>
<td>total fertility rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>teragram (10^{12} grams)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK</td>
<td>traditional knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMDL</td>
<td>total maximum daily load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF</td>
<td>trees outside of forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIPS</td>
<td>Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSU</td>
<td>Technical Support Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>terawatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UMD</td>
<td>University of Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCCD</td>
<td>United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCED</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Environment and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFCCC</td>
<td>United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIDO</td>
<td>United Nations Industrial Development Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNRO</td>
<td>United Nations Regional Organization (hypothetical body, in Scenarios)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSO</td>
<td>UNDP’s Office to Combat Desertification and Drought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>U.S. Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>volatile organic compound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VW</td>
<td>virtual water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBCSD</td>
<td>World Business Council for Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCD</td>
<td>World Commission on Dams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCED</td>
<td>World Commission on Environment and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCMC</td>
<td>World Conservation Monitoring Centre (of UNEP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFP</td>
<td>World Food Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIPO</td>
<td>World Intellectual Property Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISP</td>
<td>weighted index of social progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMO</td>
<td>World Meteorological Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPI</td>
<td>Water Poverty Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRF</td>
<td>white rot fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSSD</td>
<td>World Summit on Sustainable Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wta</td>
<td>withdrawals-to-availability ratio (of water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTA</td>
<td>willingness to accept compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Trade Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTP</td>
<td>willingness to pay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWAP</td>
<td>World Water Assessment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWF</td>
<td>World Wide Fund for Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWV</td>
<td>World Water Vision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D

Glossary

Abatement cost: See Marginal abatement cost.

Abundance: The total number of individuals of a taxon or taxa in an area, population, or community. Relative abundance refers to the total number of individuals of one taxon compared with the total number of individuals of all other taxa in an area, volume, or community.

Active adaptive management: See Adaptive management.

Adaptation: Adjustment in natural or human systems to a new or changing environment. Various types of adaptation can be distinguished, including anticipatory and reactive adaptation, private and public adaptation, and autonomous and planned adaptation.

Adaptive capacity: The general ability of institutions, systems, and individuals to adjust to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or to cope with the consequences.

Adaptive management: A systematic process for continually improving management policies and practices by learning from the outcomes of previously employed policies and practices. In active adaptive management, management is treated as a deliberate experiment for purposes of learning.

Afforestation: Planting of forests on land that has historically not contained forests. (Compare Reafforestation.)

Agrobiodiversity: The diversity of plants, insects, and soil biota found in cultivated systems.

Agroforestry systems: Mixed systems of crops and trees providing wood, non-wood forest products, food, fuel, fodder, and shelter.

Albedo: A measure of the degree to which a surface or object reflects solar radiation.

Alien species: Species introduced outside its normal distribution.

Alien invasive species: See Invasive alien species.

Aquaculture: Breeding and rearing of fish, shellfish, or plants in ponds, enclosures, or other forms of confinement in fresh or marine waters for the direct harvest of the product.

Benefits transfer approach: Economic valuation approach in which estimates obtained (by whatever method) in one context are used to estimate values in a different context.

Binding constraints: Political, social, economic, institutional, or ecological factors that rule out a particular response.

Biodiversity (a contraction of biological diversity): The variability among living organisms from all sources, including terrestrial, marine, and other aquatic ecosystems and the ecological complexes of which they are part. Biodiversity includes diversity within species, between species, and between ecosystems.

Biodiversity regulation: The regulation of ecosystem processes and services by the different components of biodiversity.

Biogeographic realm: A large spatial region, within which ecosystems share a broadly similar biota. Eight terrestrial biogeographic realms are typically recognized, corresponding roughly to continents (e.g., Afrotropical realm).

Biological diversity: See Biodiversity.

Biomass: The mass of tissues in living organisms in a population, ecosystem, or spatial unit.

Biome: The largest unit of ecological classification that is convenient to recognize below the entire globe. Terrestrial biomes are typically based on dominant vegetation structure (e.g., forest, grassland). Ecosystems within a biome function in a broadly similar way, although they may have very different species composition. For example, all forests share certain properties regarding nutrient cycling, disturbance, and biomass that are different from the properties of grasslands. Marine biomes are typically based on biogeochemical properties. The WFP biome classification is used in the MA.

Biopropecting: The exploration of biodiversity for genetic and biogeochemical resources of social or commercial value.

Biotechnology: Any technological application that uses biological systems, living organisms, or derivatives thereof to make or modify products or processes for specific use.

Biotic homogenization: Process by which the differences between biotic communities in different areas are on average reduced.

Blueprint approaches: Approaches that are designed to be applicable in a wider set of circumstances and that are not context-specific or sensitive to local conditions.

Boundary organizations: Public or private organizations that synthesize and translate scientific research and explore its policy implications to help bridge the gap between science and decision-making.

Bridging organizations: Organizations that facilitate, and offer an arena for, stakeholder collaboration, trust-building, and conflict resolution.

Capability: The combinations of doings and beings from which people can choose to lead the kind of life they value. Basic capability is the capability to meet a basic need.

Capacity building: A process of strengthening or developing human resources, institutions, organizations, or networks. Also referred to as capacity development or capacity enhancement.

Capital value (of an ecosystem): The present value of the stream of ecosystem services that an ecosystem will generate under a particular management or institutional regime.

Capture fisheries: See Fishery.

Carbon sequestration: The process of increasing the carbon content of a reservoir other than the atmosphere.

Cascading interaction: See Trophic cascade.

Catch: The number or weight of all fish caught by fishing operations, whether the fish are landed or not.

Coastal system: Systems containing terrestrial areas dominated by ocean influences of tides and marine aerosols, plus nearshore marine areas. The inland extent of coastal ecosystems is the line where land-based influences dominate, up to a maximum of 100 kilometers from the coastline or 100-meter elevation (whichever is closer to the sea), and the outward extent is the 50-meter-dePTH contour. See also System.

Collaborative (or joint) forest management: Community-based management of forests, where resource tenure by local communities is secured.

Common pool resource: A valued natural or human-made resource or facility in which one person’s use subtracts from another’s use and where it is often necessary but difficult to exclude potential users from the resource. (Compare Common property resource.)

Common property management system: The institutions (i.e., sets of rules) that define and regulate the use rights for common pool resources. Not the same as an open access system.

Common property resource: A good or service shared by a well-defined community. (Compare Common pool resource.)
Community (ecological): An assemblage of species occurring in the same space or time, often linked by biotic interactions such as competition or predation.

Community (human, local): A collection of human beings who have something in common. A local community is a fairly small group of people who share a common place of residence and a set of institutions based on this fact, but the word ‘community’ is also used to refer to larger collections of people who have something else in common (e.g., national community, donor community).

Condition of an ecosystem: The capacity of an ecosystem to yield services, relative to its potential capacity.

Condition of an ecosystem service: The capacity of an ecosystem service to yield benefits to people, relative to its potential capacity.

Constituents of well-being: The experiential aspects of well-being, such as health, happiness, and freedom to be and do, and, more broadly, basic liberties.

Consumptive use: The reduction in the quantity or quality of a good available for other users due to consumption.

Contingent valuation: Economic valuation technique based on a survey of how much respondents would be willing to pay for specified benefits.

Core dataset: Data sets designated to have wide potential application throughout the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment process. They include land use, land cover, climate, and population data sets.

Cost-benefit analysis: A technique designed to determine the feasibility of a project or plan by quantifying its costs and benefits.

Cost-effectiveness analysis: Analysis to identify the least cost option that meets a particular goal.

Critically endangered species: Species that face an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild. See also Threatened species.

Cross-scale feedback: A process in which effects of some action are transmitted from a smaller spatial extent to a larger one, or vice versa. For example, a global policy may constrain the flexibility of a local region to use certain response options to environmental change, or a local agricultural pest outbreak may affect regional food supply.

Cultivar (a contraction of cultivated variety): A variety of a plant developed from a natural species and maintained under cultivation.

Cultivated system: Areas of landscape or seascapes actively managed for the production of food, feed, fiber, or biofuels.

Cultural landscape: See Landscape.

Cultural services: The nonmaterial benefits people obtain from ecosystems through spiritual enrichment, cognitive development, reflection, recreation, and aesthetic experience, including, e.g., knowledge systems, social relations, and aesthetic values.

Decision analytical framework: A coherent set of concepts and procedures aimed at synthesizing available information to help policymakers assess consequences of various decision options. DAFs organize the relevant information in a suitable framework, apply decision criteria (both based on some paradigms or theories), and thus identify options that are better than others under the assumptions characterizing the analytical framework and the application at hand.

Decision-maker: A person whose decisions, and the actions that follow from them, can influence a condition, process, or issue under consideration.

Decomposition: The ecological process carried out primarily by microbes that leads to a transformation of dead organic matter into inorganic matter.

Deforestation: Conversion of forest to non-forest.

Degradation of an ecosystem service: For provisioning services, decreased production of the service through changes in area over which the service is provided, or decreased production per unit area. For regulating and supporting services, a reduction in the benefits obtained from the service, either through a change in the service or through human pressures on the service exceeding its limits. For cultural services, a change in the ecosystem features that decreases the cultural benefits provided by the ecosystem.

Degradation of ecosystems: A persistent reduction in the capacity to provide ecosystem services.

Desertification: Land degradation in drylands resulting from various factors, including climatic variations and human activities.

Determinants of well-being: Inputs into the production of well-being, such as food, clothing, potable water, and access to knowledge and information.

Direct use value (of ecosystems): The benefits derived from the services provided by an ecosystem that are used directly by an economic agent. These include consumptive uses (e.g., harvesting goods) and nonconsumptive uses (e.g., enjoyment of scenic beauty). Agents are often physically present in an ecosystem to receive direct use value. (Compare Indirect use value.)

Disability-adjusted life years: The sum of years of life lost due to premature death and illness, taking into account the age of death compared with natural life expectancy and the number of years of life lived with a disability. The measure of number of years lived with the disability considers the duration of the disease, weighted by a measure of the severity of the disease.

Diversity: The variety and relative abundance of different entities in a sample.

Driver: Any natural or human-induced factor that directly or indirectly causes a change in an ecosystem.

Driver, direct: A driver that unequivocally influences ecosystem processes and can therefore be identified and measured to differing degrees of accuracy. (Compare Driver, indirect.)

Driver, endogenous: A driver whose magnitude can be influenced by the decision-maker. Whether a driver is exogenous or endogenous depends on the organizational scale. Some drivers (e.g., prices) are exogenous to a decision-maker at one level (a farmer) but endogenous at other levels (the nation-state). (Compare Driver, exogenous.)

Driver, exogenous: A driver that cannot be altered by the decision-maker. (Compare Driver, endogenous.)

Driver, indirect: A driver that operates by altering the level or rate of change of one or more direct drivers. (Compare Driver, direct.)

Drylands: See Dryland system.

Dryland system: Areas characterized by lack of water, which constrains the two major interlinked services of the system: primary production and nutrient cycling. Four dryland subtypes are widely recognized: dry sub-humid, semiarid, arid, and hyperarid, showing an increasing level of aridity or moisture deficit. See also System.

Ecological character: See Ecosystem properties.

Ecological degradation: See Degradation of ecosystems.

Ecological footprint: An estimate of the area of productive land and aquatic ecosystems required to produce the resources used and to assimilate the wastes produced by a defined population at a specified material standard of living, wherever on Earth that land may be located.

Ecological security: A condition of ecological safety that ensures access to a sustainable flow of provisioning, regulating, and cultural services needed by local communities to meet their basic capabilities.

Ecological surprises: Unexpected—and often disproportionately large—consequence of changes in the abiotic (e.g., climate, disturbance) or biotic (e.g., invasions, pathogens) environment.

Ecosystem: A dynamic complex of plant, animal, and microorganism communities and their non-living environment interacting as a functional unit.

Ecosystem approach: A strategy for the integrated management of land, water, and living resources that promotes conservation and sustainable use. An ecosystem approach is based on the application of appropriate scientific methods focused on levels of biological organization, which encompasses the essential structure, processes, functions, and interactions among organisms and their environment. It recognizes that humans, with their cultural diversity, are an integral component of many ecosystems.

Ecosystem assessment: A social process through which the findings of science concerning the causes of ecosystem change, their consequences for human well-being, and management and policy options are brought to bear on the needs of decision-makers.

Ecosystem boundary: The spatial delimitation of an ecosystem, typically based on discontinuities in the distribution of organisms, the biophysical environment (soil types, drainage basins, depth in a
water body), and spatial interactions (home ranges, migration patterns, fluxes of matter).

Ecosystem resilience: Any variation in the state, outputs, or structure of an ecosystem.

Ecosystem function: See Ecosystem process.

Ecosystem interactions: Exchanges of materials, energy, and information within and among ecosystems.

Ecosystem management: An approach to maintaining or restoring the composition, structure, function, and delivery of services of natural and modified ecosystems for the goal of achieving sustainability. It is based on an adaptive, collaboratively developed vision of desired future conditions that integrates ecological, socioeconomic, and institutional perspectives, applied within a geographic framework, and defined primarily by natural ecological boundaries.

Ecosystem process: An intrinsic ecosystem characteristic whereby an ecosystem maintains its integrity. Ecosystem processes include decomposition, production, nutrient cycling, and fluxes of nutrients and energy.

Ecosystem properties: The size, biodiversity, stability, degree of organization, internal exchanges of materials, energy, and information among different pools, and other properties that characterize an ecosystem. Includes ecosystem functions and processes.

Ecosystem resilience: See Resilience.

Ecosystem resistance: See Resistance.

Ecosystem robustness: See Ecosystem stability.

Ecosystem services: The benefits people obtain from ecosystems. These include provisioning services such as food and water; regulating services such as flood and disease control; cultural services such as spiritual, recreational, and cultural benefits; and supporting services such as nutrient cycling that maintain the conditions for life on Earth. The concept “ecosystem goods and services” is synonymous with ecosystem services.

Ecosystem stability (or ecosystem robustness): A description of the dynamic properties of an ecosystem. An ecosystem is considered stable or robust if it returns to its original state after a perturbation, exhibits low temporal variability, or does not change dramatically in the face of a perturbation.

Elasticity: A measure of responsiveness of one variable to a change in another, usually defined in terms of percentage change. For example, own-price elasticity of demand is the percentage change in the quantity demanded of a good for a 1% change in the price of that good. Other common elasticity measures include supply and income elasticity.

Emergent disease: Diseases that have recently increased in incidence, impact, or geographic range; that are caused by pathogens that have recently evolved; that are newly discovered; or that have recently changed their clinical presentation.

Emergent property: A phenomenon that is not evident in the constituent parts of a system but that appears when they interact in the system as a whole.

Enabling conditions: Critical preconditions for success of responses, including political, institutional, social, economic, and ecological factors.

Endangered species: Species that face a very high risk of extinction in the wild. See also Threatened species.

Endemic (in ecology): A species or higher taxonomic unit found only within a specific area.

Endemic (in health): The constant presence of a disease or infectious agent within a given geographic area or population group; may also refer to the usual prevalence of a given disease within such area or group.

Endemism: The fraction of species that is endemic relative to the total number of species found in a specific area.

Epistemology: The theory of knowledge, or a “way of knowing.”

Equity: Fairness of rights, distribution, and access. Depending on context, this can refer to resources, services, or power.

Eutrophication: The increase in additions of nutrients to freshwater or marine systems, which leads to increases in plant growth and often to undesirable changes in ecosystem structure and function.

Evapotranspiration: See Transpiration.

Existence value: The value that individuals place on knowing that a resource exists, even if they never use that resource (also sometimes known as conservation value or passive use value).

Exotic species: See Alien species.

Externality: A consequence of an action that affects someone other than the agent undertaking that action and for which the agent is neither compensated nor penalized through the markets. Externali-
ties can be positive or negative.

Feedback: See Negative feedback, Positive feedback, and Cross-scale feedback.

Fishery: A particular kind of fishing activity, e.g., a trawl fishery, or a particular species targeted, e.g., a cod fishery or salmon fishery.

Fish stock: See Stock.

Fixed nitrogen: See Reactive nitrogen.

Flyway: Areas of the world used by migratory birds in moving between breeding and wintering grounds.

Forest systems: Systems in which trees are the predominant life forms. Statistics reported in this assessment are based on areas that are dominated by trees (perennial woody plants taller than five meters at maturity), where the tree crown cover exceeds 10%, and where the area is more than 0.5 hectares. “Open forests” have a canopy cover between 10% and 40%, and “closed forests” a canopy cover of more than 40%. “Fragmented forests” refer to mosaics of forest patches and non-forest land. See also System.

Freedom: The range of options a person has in deciding the kind of life to lead.

Functional diversity: The value, range, and relative abundance of traits present in the organisms in an ecological community.

Functional redundancy (= functional compensation): A characteristic of ecosystems in which more than one species in the system can carry out a particular process. Redundancy may be total or partial—that is, a species may not be able to completely replace the other species or it may compensate only some of the processes in which the other species are involved.

Functional types (= functional groups = guilds): Groups of organisms that respond to the environment or affect ecosystem processes in a similar way. Examples of plant functional types include nitrogen-fixers versus non-fixers, stress-tolerant versus ruderal versus competitor, resprouter versus seeder, deciduous versus evergreen. Examples of animal functional types include granivorous versus fleshy-fruit eater, nocturnal versus diurnal predator, browser versus grazer.

Geographic information system: A computerized system organizing data sets through a geographical referencing of all data included in its collections.

Globalization: The increasing integration of economies and societies around the world, particularly through trade and financial flows, and the transfer of culture and technology.

Global scale: The geographical realm encompassing all of Earth.

Governance: The process of regulating human behavior in accordance with shared objectives. The term includes both governmental and nongovernmental mechanisms.

Health, human: A state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. The health of a whole community or population is reflected in measurements of disease incidence and prevalence, age-specific death rates, and life expectancy.

High seas: The area outside of national jurisdiction, i.e., beyond each nation’s Exclusive Economic Zone or other territorial waters.

Human well-being: See Well-being.

Income poverty: See Poverty.

Indicator: Information based on measured data used to represent a particular attribute, characteristic, or property of a system.

Indigenous knowledge (or local knowledge): The knowledge that is unique to a given culture or society.

Indirect interaction: Those interactions among species in which a species, through direct interaction with another species or modification of resources, alters the abundance of a third species with which it is not directly interacting. Indirect interactions can be trophic or non-trophic in nature.
**Indirect use value**: The benefits derived from the goods and services provided by an ecosystem that are used indirectly by an economic agent. For example, an agent at some distance from an ecosystem may derive benefits from drinking water that has been purified as it passed through the ecosystem. (Compare Direct use value.)

**Infant mortality rate**: Number of deaths of infants aged 0–12 months divided by the number of live births.

**Inland water systems**: Permanent water bodies other than salt-water systems on the coast, seas, and oceans. Includes rivers, lakes, reservoirs, wetlands and inland saline lakes and marshes. See also System.

**Institutions**: The rules that guide how people within societies live, work, and interact with each other. Formal institutions are written or codified rules. Examples of formal institutions would be the constitution, the judiciary laws, the organized market, and property rights. Informal institutions are rules governed by social and behavioral norms of the society, family, or community. Also referred to as organizations.

**Integrated coastal zone management**: Approaches that integrate economic, social, and ecological perspectives for the management of coastal resources and areas.

**Integrated conservation and development projects**: Initiatives that aim to link biodiversity conservation and development.

**Integrated pest management**: Any practices that attempt to capitalize on natural processes that reduce pest abundance. Sometimes used to refer to monitoring programs where farmers apply pesticides to improve economic efficiency (reducing application rates and improving profitability).

**Integrated responses**: Responses that address degradation of ecosystem services across a number of systems simultaneously or that also explicitly include objectives to enhance human well-being.

**Integrated river basin management**: Integration of water planning and management with environmental, social, and economic development concerns, with an explicit objective of improving human welfare.

**Interventions**: See Responses.

**Intrinsic value**: The value of someone or something in and for itself, irrespective of its utility for people.

**Invasibility**: Intrinsic susceptibility of an ecosystem to be invaded by an alien species.

**Invasive alien species**: An alien species whose establishment and spread modifies ecosystems, habitats, or species.

**Irreversibility**: The quality of being impossible or difficult to return to, or to restore to, a former condition. See also Option value, Precautionary principle, Resilience, and Threshold.

**Island systems**: Lands isolated by surrounding water, with a high proportion of coast to hinterland. The degree of isolation from the mainland in both natural and social aspects is accounted by the isola effect. See also System.

**Isola effect**: Environmental issues that are unique to island systems. This uniqueness takes into account the physical seclusion of islands as isolated pieces of land exposed to marine or climatic disturbances with a more limited access to space, products, and services when compared with most continental areas, but also includes subjective issues such as the perceptions and attitudes of islanders themselves.

**Keystone species**: A species whose impact on the community is disproportionately large relative to its abundance. Effects can be produced by consumption (trophic interactions), competition, mutualism, dispersal, pollination, disease, or habitat modification (nontrophic interactions).

**Land cover**: The physical coverage of land, usually expressed in terms of vegetation cover or lack of it. Related to, but not synonymous with, land use.

**Landscape**: An area of land that contains a mosaic of ecosystems, including human-dominated ecosystems. The term cultural landscape is often used when referring to landscapes containing significant human populations or in which there has been significant human influence on the land.

**Landscape unit**: A portion of relatively homogenous land cover within the local-to-regional landscape.

**Land use**: The human use of a piece of land for a certain purpose (such as irrigated agriculture or recreation). Influenced by, but not synonymous with, land cover.

**Length of growing period**: The total number of days in a year during which rainfall exceeds one half of potential evapotranspiration. For boreal and temperate zone, growing season is usually defined as a number of days with the average daily temperature that exceeds a definite threshold, such as 10°C.

**Local knowledge**: See Indigenous knowledge.

**Mainstreaming**: Incorporating a specific concern, e.g., sustainable use of ecosystems, into policies and actions.

**Malnutrition**: A state of bad nourishment. Malnutrition refers both to undernutrition and overnutrition, as well as to conditions arising from dietary imbalances leading to diet-related noncommunicable diseases.

**Marginal abatement cost**: The cost of abating an incremental unit of, for instance, a pollutant.

**Marine system**: Marine waters from the low-water mark to the high seas that support marine capture fisheries, as well as deepwater (>50 meters) habitats. Four sub-divisions (marine biomes) are recognized: the coastal boundary zone; trade-winds; westerlies; and polar.

**Market-based instruments**: Mechanisms that create a market for ecosystem services in order to improving the efficiency in the way the service is used. The term is used for mechanisms that create new markets, but also for responses such as taxes, subsidies, or regulations that affect existing markets.

**Market failure**: The inability of a market to capture the correct values of ecosystem services.

**Mitigation**: An anthropogenic intervention to reduce negative or unsustainable uses of ecosystems or to enhance sustainable practices.

**Mountain system**: High-altitude (greater than 2,500 meters) areas and steep mid-altitude (1,000 meters at the equator, decreasing to sea level where alpine life zones meet polar life zones at high latitudes) areas, excluding large plateaus.

**Negative feedback**: Feedback that has a net effect of dampening perturbation.

**Net primary productivity**: See Production, biological.

**Non-linearity**: A relationship or process in which a small change in the value of a driver (i.e., an independent variable) produces an disproportionate change in the outcome (i.e., the dependent variable). Relationships where there is a sudden discontinuity or change in rate are sometimes referred to as abrupt and often form the basin of thresholds. In loose terms, they may lead to unexpected outcomes or “surprises.”

**Nutrient cycling**: The processes by which elements are extracted from their mineral, aquatic, or atmospheric sources or recycled from their organic forms, converting them to the form in which their uptake occurs and ultimately returning them to the atmosphere, water, or soil.

**Nutrients**: The approximately 20 chemical elements known to be essential for the growth of living organisms, including nitrogen, sulfur, phosphorus, and carbon.

**Open access resource**: A good or service over which no property rights are recognized.

**Opportunity cost**: The benefits forgone by undertaking one activity instead of another.

**Option value**: The value of preserving the option to use services in the future either by oneself (option value) or by others or heirs (bequest value). Quasi-option value represents the value of avoiding irreversible decisions until new information reveals whether certain ecosystem services have values society is not currently aware of.

**Organic farming**: Crop and livestock production systems that do not make use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, or herbicides. May also include restrictions on the use of transgenic crops (genetically modified organisms).

**Pastoralism, pastoral system**: The use of domestic animals as a primary means for obtaining resources from habitats.

**Perturbation**: An imposed movement of a system away from its current state.
Polar system: Treeless lands at high latitudes. Includes Arctic and Antarctic areas, where the polar system merges with the northern boreal forest and the Southern Ocean respectively. See also System.

Policy failure: A situation in which government policies create inefficiencies in the use of goods and services.

Policy-maker: A person with power to influence or determine policies and practices at an international, national, regional, or local level.

Pollution: A process in the sexual phase of reproduction in some plants caused by the transportation of pollen. In the context of ecosystem services, pollution generally refers to animal-assisted pollination, such as that done by bees, rather than wind pollination.

Population, biological: A group of individuals of the same species, occupying a defined area, and usually isolated to some degree from other similar groups. Populations can be relatively reproductively isolated and adapted to local environments.

Population, human: A collection of living people in a given area. (Compare Community (human, local).)

Positive feedback: Feedback that has a net effect of amplifying perturbation.

Poverty: The pronounced deprivation of well-being. Income poverty refers to a particular formulation expressed solely in terms of per capita or household income.

Precautionary principle: The management concept stating that in cases “where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation,” as defined in the Rio Declaration.

Prediction (or forecast): The result of an attempt to produce a most likely description or estimate of the actual evolution of a variable or system in the future. See also Projection and Scenario.

Primary production: See Production, biological.

Private costs and benefits: Costs and benefits directly felt by individual economic agents or groups as seen from their perspective. (Externalities imposed on others are ignored.) Costs and benefits are valued at the prices actually paid or received by the group, even if these prices are highly distorted. Sometimes termed “financial” costs and benefits. (Compare Social costs and benefits.)

Probability distribution: A distribution that shows all the values that a random variable can take and the likelihood that each will occur.

Production, biological: Rate of biomass produced by an ecosystem, generally expressed as biomass produced per unit of time per unit of surface or volume. Net primary productivity is defined as the energy fixed by plants minus their respiration.

Production, economic: Output of a system.

Productivity, biological: See Production, biological.

Productivity, economic: Capacity of a system to produce high levels of output or responsiveness of the output of a system to inputs.

Projection: A potential future evolution of a quantity or set of quantities, often computed with the aid of a model. Projections are distinguished from “predictions” in order to emphasize that projections involve assumptions concerning, for example, future socioeconomic and technological developments that may or may not be realized; they are therefore subject to substantial uncertainty.

Property rights: The right to specific uses, perhaps including exchange in a market, of ecosystems and their services.

Providing services: The products obtained from ecosystems, including, for example, genetic resources, food and fiber, and fresh water.

Public good: A good or service in which the benefit received by any one party does not diminish the availability of the benefits to others, and where access to the good cannot be restricted.

Reactive nitrogen (or fixed nitrogen): The forms of nitrogen that are generally available to organisms, such as ammonia, nitrate, and organic nitrogen. Nitrogen gas (or dinitrogen), which is the major component of the atmosphere, is inert to most organisms.

Realm: Used to describe the three major types of ecosystems on earth: terrestrial, freshwater, and marine. Diffs fundamentally from biogeographic realm.

Reforestation: Planting of forests on lands that have previously contained forest but have since been converted to some other use. (Compare Afforestation.)

Regime shift: A rapid reorganization of an ecosystem from one relatively stable state to another.

Regulating services: The benefits obtained from the regulation of ecosystem processes, including, for example, the regulation of climate, water, and some human diseases.

Relative abundance: See Abundance.

Reporting unit: The spatial or temporal unit at which assessment or analysis findings are reported. In an assessment, these units are chosen to maximize policy relevance or relevance to the public and thus may differ from those upon which the analyses were conducted (e.g., analyses conducted on mapped ecosystems can be reported on administrative units). See also System.

Resilience: The level of disturbance that an ecosystem can undergo without crossing a threshold to a situation with different structure or outputs. Resilience depends on ecological dynamics as well as the organizational and institutional capacity to understand, manage, and respond to these dynamics.

Resistance: The capacity of an ecosystem to withstand the impacts of drivers without displacement from its present state.

Responses: Human actions, including policies, strategies, and interventions, to address specific issues, needs, opportunities, or problems. In the context of ecosystem management, responses may be of legal, technical, institutional, economic, and behavioral nature and may operate at various spatial and time scales.

Riparian: Something related to, living on, or located at the banks of a watercourse, usually a river or stream.

Safe minimum standard: A decision analytical framework in which the benefits of ecosystem services are assumed to be incalculable and should be preserved unless the costs of doing so rise to an intolerable level, thus shifting the burden of proof to those who would convert them.

Salinization: The buildup of salts in soils.

Scale: The measurable dimensions of phenomena or observations. Expressed in physical units, such as meters, years, population size, or quantities moved or exchanged. In observation, scale determines the relative fineness and coarseness of different detail and the selectivity among patterns these data may form.

Scenario: A plausible and often simplified description of how the future may develop, based on a coherent and internally consistent set of assumptions about key driving forces (e.g., rate of technology change, prices) and relationships. Scenarios are neither predictions nor projections and sometimes may be based on a “narrative storyline.” Scenarios may include projections but are often based on additional information from other sources.

Security: Access to resources, safety, and the ability to live in a predictable and controllable environment.

Service: See Ecosystem services.

Social costs and benefits: Costs and benefits as seen from the perspective of society as a whole. These differ from private costs and benefits in being more inclusive (all costs and benefits borne by some member of society are taken into account) and in being valued at social opportunity cost rather than market prices, where these differ. Sometimes termed “economic” costs and benefits. (Compare Private costs and benefits.)

Social incentives: Measures that lower transaction costs by facilitating trust-building and learning as well as rewarding collaboration and conflict resolution. Social incentives are often provided by bridging organizations.

Socioecological system: An ecosystem, the management of this ecosystem by actors and organizations, and the rules, social norms, and conventions underlyng this management. (Compare System.)

Soft law: Non-legally binding instruments, such as guidelines, standards, criteria, codes of practice, resolutions, and principles or declarations, that states establish to implement national laws.

Soil fertility: The potential of the soil to supply nutrient elements in the quantity, form, and proportion required to support optimum plant growth. See also Nutrients.
Symbiosis: The formation of new species.
Species: An interbreeding group of organisms that is reproductively isolated from all other organisms, although there are many partial exceptions to this rule in particular taxa. Operationally, the term species is a generally agreed fundamental taxonomic unit, based on morphological or genetic similarity, that once described and accepted is associated with a unique scientific name.
Species diversity: Biodiversity at the species level, often combining aspects of species richness, their relative abundance, and their dissimilarity.
Species richness: The number of species within a given sample, community, or area.
Statistical variation: Variability in data due to error in measurement, error in sampling, or variation in the measured quantity itself.
Stock (in fisheries): The population or biomass of a fishery resource. Such stocks are usually identified by their location. They can be, but are not always, genetically discrete from other stocks.
Stoichiometry, ecological: The relatively constant proportions of the different nutrients in plant or animal biomass that set constraints on production. Nutrients only available in lower proportions are likely to limit growth.
Storyline: A narrative description of a scenario, which highlights its main features and the relationships between the scenario’s driving forces and its main features.
Strategies: See Responses.
Streamflow: The quantity of water flowing in a watercourse.
Subsidiarity, principle of: The notion of devolving decision-making authority to the lowest appropriate level.
Subsidy: Transfer of resources to an entity, which either reduces the operating costs or increases the revenues of such entity for the purpose of achieving some objective.
Subsistence: An activity in which the output is mostly for the use of the individual person doing it, or their family, and which is a significant component of their livelihood.
Subspecies: A population that is distinct from, and partially reproductively isolated from, other populations of a species but that has not yet diverged sufficiently that interbreeding is impossible.
Supporting services: Ecosystem services that are necessary for the production of all other ecosystem services. Some examples include biomass production, production of atmospheric oxygen, soil formation and retention, nutrient cycling, water cycling, and provisioning of habitat.
Sustainability: A characteristic or state whereby the needs of the present and local population can be met without compromising the ability of future generations or populations in other locations to meet their needs.
Sustainable use (of an ecosystem): Human use of an ecosystem so that it may yield a continuous benefit to present generations while maintaining its potential to meet the needs and aspirations of future generations.
Symbiosis: Close and usually obligatory relationship between two organisms of different species, not necessarily to their mutual benefit.
Synergy: When the combined effect of several forces operating is greater than the sum of the separate effects of the forces.
System: In the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, reporting units that are ecosystem-based but at a level of aggregation far higher than that usually applied to ecosystems. Thus the system includes many component ecosystems, some of which may not strongly interact with each other, that may be spatially separate, or that may be of a different type to the ecosystems that constitute the majority, or matrix, of the system overall. The system includes the social and economic systems that have an impact on and are affected by the ecosystems included within it. For example, the Condition and Trend Working Group refers to “forest systems,” “cultivated systems,” “mountain systems,” and so on. Systems thus defined are not mutually exclusive, and are permitted to overlap spatially or conceptually. For instance, the “cultivated system” may include areas of “dryland system” and vice versa.
Taxon (pl. taxa): The named classification unit to which individuals or sets of species are assigned. Higher taxa are those above the species level. For example, the common mouse, Mus musculus, belongs to the Genus Mus, the Family Muridae, and the Class Mammalia.
Taxonomy: A system of nested categories (taxa) reflecting evolutionary relationships or morphological similarity.
Tenure: See Property rights, although also sometimes used more specifically in reference to the temporal dimensions and security of property rights.
Threatened species: Species that face a high (vulnerable species), very high (endangered species), or extremely high (critically endangered species) risk of extinction in the wild.
Threshold: A point or level at which new properties emerge in an ecological, economic, or other system, invalidating predictions based on mathematical relationships that apply at lower levels. For example, species diversity of a landscape may decline steadily with increasing habitat degradation to a certain point, then fall sharply after a critical threshold of degradation is reached. Human behavior, especially at group levels, sometimes exhibits threshold effects. Thresholds at which irreversible changes occur are especially of concern to decision-makers. (Compare Non-linearity.)
Time series data: A set of data that expresses a particular variable measured over time.
Total economic value framework: A widely used framework to disaggregate the components of utilitarian value, including direct use value, indirect use value, option value, quasi-option value, and existence value.
Total factor productivity: A measure of the aggregate increase in efficiency of use of inputs. TFP is the ratio of the quantity of output divided by an index of the amount of inputs used. A common input index uses as weights the share of the input in the total cost of production.
Total fertility rate: The number of children a woman would give birth to if through her lifetime she experienced the set of age-specific fertility rates currently observed. Since age-specific rates generally change over time, TFR does not in general give the actual number of births a woman alive today can be expected to have. Rather, it is a synthetic index meant to measure age-specific birth rates in a given year.
Trade-off: Management choices that intentionally or otherwise change the type, magnitude, and relative mix of services provided by ecosystems.
Traditional ecological knowledge: Indigenous knowledge. The cumulative body of knowledge, practices, and beliefs evolved by adaptive processes and handed down through generations. TEK may or may not be indigenous or local, but it is distinguished by the way in which it is acquired and used, through the social process of learning and sharing knowledge. (Compare Indigenous knowledge.)
Traditional knowledge: See Traditional ecological knowledge.
Traditional use: Exploitation of natural resources by indigenous users or by nonindigenous residents using traditional methods. Local use refers to exploitation by local residents.
Transpiration: The process by which water is drawn through plants and returned to the air as water vapor. Evapotranspiration is combined loss of water to the atmosphere via the processes of evaporation and transpiration.
Travel cost methods: Economic valuation techniques that use observed costs to travel to a destination to derive demand functions for that destination.
Trend: A pattern of change over time, over and above short-term fluctuations.
Trophic cascade: A chain reaction of top-down interactions across multiple trophic levels. These occur when changes in the presence or absence (or shifts in abundance) of a top predator alter the production at several lower trophic levels. Such positive indirect effects of top predators on lower trophic levels are mediated by the consumption of mid-level consumers (generally herbivores).
Trophic level: The average level of an organism within a food web, with plants having a trophic level of 1, herbivores 2, first-order carnivores 3, and so on.
Umbrella species: Species that have either large habitat needs or other requirements whose conservation results in many other species being conserved at the ecosystem or landscape level.
Uncertainty: An expression of the degree to which a future condition (e.g., of an ecosystem) is unknown. Uncertainty can result from lack of information or from disagreement about what is known or even knowable. It may have many types of sources, from quantifiable errors in the data to ambiguously defined terminology or uncertain projections of human behavior. Uncertainty can therefore be represented by quantitative measures (e.g., a range of values calculated by various models) or by qualitative statements (e.g., reflecting the judgment of a team of experts).

Urbanization: An increase in the proportion of the population living in urban areas.

Urban systems: Built environments with a high human population density. Operationally defined as human settlements with a minimum population density commonly in the range of 400 to 1,000 persons per square kilometer, minimum size of typically between 1,000 and 5,000 people, and maximum agricultural employment usually in the vicinity of 50–75%. See also System.

Utility: In economics, the measure of the degree of satisfaction or happiness of a person.

Valuation: The process of expressing a value for a particular good or service in a certain context (e.g., of decision-making) usually in terms of something that can be counted, often money, but also through methods and measures from other disciplines (sociology, ecology, and so on). See also Value.

Value: The contribution of an action or object to user-specified goals, objectives, or conditions. (Compare Valuation.)

Value systems: Norms and precepts that guide human judgment and action.

Voluntary measures: Measures that are adopted by firms or other actors in the absence of government mandates.

Vulnerability: Exposure to contingencies and stress, and the difficulty in coping with them. Three major dimensions of vulnerability are involved: exposure to stresses, perturbations, and shocks; the sensitivity of people, places, ecosystems, and species to the stress or perturbation, including their capacity to anticipate and cope with the stress; and the resilience of the exposed people, places, ecosystems, and species in terms of their capacity to absorb shocks and perturbations while maintaining function.

Vulnerable species: Species that face a high risk of extinction in the wild. See also Threatened species.

Water scarcity: A water supply that limits food production, human health, and economic development. Severe scarcity is taken to be equivalent to 1,000 cubic meters per year per person or greater than 40% use relative to supply.

Watershed (also catchment basin): The land area that drains into a particular watercourse or body of water. Sometimes used to describe the dividing line of high ground between two catchment basins.

Water stress: See Water scarcity.

Well-being: A context- and situation-dependent state, comprising basic material for a good life, freedom and choice, health and bodily well-being, good social relations, security, peace of mind, and spiritual experience.

Wetlands: Areas of marsh, fen, peatland, or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six meters. May incorporate riparian and coastal zones adjacent to the wetlands and islands or bodies of marine water deeper than six meters at low tide laying within the wetlands.

Wise use (of an ecosystem): Sustainable utilization for the benefit of humankind in a way compatible with the maintenance of the natural properties of the ecosystem.
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