Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

Bridging Scales and Epistemologies: Linking Local Knowledge and Global Science in Multi-Scale Assessments

Alexandria, Egypt 17th-20th March, 2003

MULTI-SCALE INTEGRATED ANALYSIS OF SOCIETAL METABOLISM: LEARNING FROM TRAJECTORIES OF DEVELOPMENT AND BUILDING ROBUST SCENARIOS

> Jesus Ramos-Martin Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione Roma, Italy. E-mail: ramos@inran.it

What MSIASM does ?

Representation of the performance of a system in terms of a set of attributes by using 'parallel non equivalent descriptive domains'. It is, therefore, a 'discussion support tool'. It allows:

- Learning from trajectories of development, and
- Building robust scenarios

The rationale of the approach is based on:

- a) 'mosaic effects across levels'
- b) 'impredicative loop analysis'
- c) 'the continuous search and the updating of useful narratives for surfing in complex time'

What MSIASM does ?

Representation of the performance of a system in terms of a set of attributes by using 'parallel non equivalent descriptive domains'. It is, therefore, a 'discussion support tool'

The rationale of the approach is based on:

a) 'mosaic effects across levels'

Dendograms of EMR in Spain in 1976



Dendograms of EMR in Spain in 1996



Dendogram of ELP in Spain in 1976



Dendogram of ELP in Spain in 1996



What MSIASM does ?

Representation of the performance of a system in terms of a set of attributes by using 'parallel non equivalent descriptive domains'. It is, therefore, a 'discussion support tool'

The rationale of the approach is based on:

a) 'mosaic effects across levels'

b) 'impredicative loop analysis'





Establishing a bridge between EMR and ELP in paid work sectors (Spain and Ecuador)





Source: Ramos-Martin (2001), Falconi (2001)

Multi-Objective Integrated Representation of performance SPAIN



IN SHORT

Steps of a MSIASM:

(A) Choosing variables able to map the size of the system as perceived from within the black-box(variable # 1). Typical examples are: "hours of human activity" and "hectares of land area"

(B) Choosing variables able to map the size of the system as perceived by its context in terms of exchanged flows (variable # 2). They describe the interaction of the system with its context. Examples are: "exosomatic energy", "added value", "other flows of key material inputs"

(C) Mapping the nested hierarchical structure associated to the nested metabolic system with variables # 1, # 2, and the ratio of the two (variable # 3). The resulting family of intensive variables # 3 can reflect a *biophysical* accounting (e.g. exosomatic energy flows per unit of human activity) as well as an *economic* accounting (flows of added value per unit of human activity)

What do we get ?

Coherence in the resulting information space (e.g. economic and biophysical readings referring to different levels of the nested hierarchy)

How do we get it ?

By establishing relations of congruence over the integrated set of definitions of:

(A) **Extensive variables # 1** such as investments of human activity, land area

(B) **Extensive variables # 2** such as throughputs of matter, energy, and added value in the various compartments

(C) The typical expected values of **intensive variables # 3** associated to the various typologies making up socioeconomic systems at different hierarchical levels **Conclusions for the historical analysis**

- close relationship between ELP and EMR
- Spain: Surplus →increase EMRPW (dETPW > dHAPW) →increase in ELPPW → when a threshold was reached all increase went to EMRHH
- Ecuador: Population and Debt constraint
 →surplus is not directed to capitalisation